

Utah State Office of Education

# core standards

*for* **ENGLISH**

**LANGUAGE ARTS**

**and Literacy in History/  
Social Studies, Science,  
and Technical Subjects**

The Utah State Board of Education, in January of 1984, established policy requiring the identification of specific core standards to be met by all K–12 students in order to graduate from Utah’s secondary schools. The Utah State Board of Education regularly updates the Utah Core Standards, while parents, teachers, and local school boards continue to control the curriculum choices that reflect local values.

The Utah Core Standards are aligned to scientifically based content standards. They drive high quality instruction through statewide comprehensive expectations for all students. The standards outline essential knowledge, concepts, and skills to be mastered at each grade level or within a critical content area. The standards provide a foundation for ensuring learning within the classroom.



250 East 500 South P.O. Box 144200 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4200  
Martell Menlove, Ph.D., State Superintendent of Public Instruction



---

UTAH CORE STATE STANDARDS  
for **ENGLISH**  
**LANGUAGE ARTS**

**and Literacy in History/  
Social Studies, Science,  
and Technical Subjects**

Adopted August 2010  
by the  
Utah State Board of Education



Revised June 2013

© Copyright 2010. National Governors Association Center for Best Practices and Council of Chief State School Officers. All rights reserved. Modified per permission from CCSSO, July 2012.

# BOARD OF EDUCATION

<i>District</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>City</i>	<i>Phone</i>
District 1	Tami W. Pyfer	52 Ballard Way	Logan, UT 84321	(435) 753-7529
District 2	Keith M. Buswell	1027 West 3800 North	Pleasant View, UT 84414	(801) 510-1773
District 3	Michael G. Jensen	4139 South Aubrey Lane	West Valley City, UT 84128	(801) 955-5550
District 4	Dave L. Thomas	7875 South 2250 East	South Weber, UT 84405	(801) 479-7479
District 5	Kim R. Burningham	932 Canyon Crest Drive	Bountiful, UT 84010	(801) 292-9261
District 6	Joel Coleman	8303 South 5260 West	West Jordan, UT 84081	(801) 634-6251
District 7	Leslie B. Castle	2465 St. Mary's Drive	Salt Lake City, UT 84108	(801) 581-9752
District 8	Jennifer A. Johnson	802 Winchester Street, #100	Murray, UT 84107	(801) 742-1616
District 9	Heather Groom	5886 West Timber Ridge Lane	Highland, UT 84003	(801) 980-0239
District 10	David L. Crandall	13464 Saddle Ridge Drive	Draper, UT 84020	(801) 232-0795
District 11	Jefferson Moss	1668 Aspen Circle	Saratoga Springs, UT 84045	(801) 916-7386
District 12	Dixie L. Allen	218 West 5250 North	Vernal, UT 84078	(435) 789-0534
District 13	C. Mark Openshaw	3329 Piute Drive	Provo, UT 84604	(801) 377-0790
District 14	Debra G. Roberts	P.O. Box 1780	Beaver, UT 84713	(435) 438-5843
District 15	Barbara W. Corry	1022 Cedar Knolls	Cedar City, UT 84720	(435) 586-3050
	Teresa L. Theurer <sup>1</sup>	33 Canterbury Lane	Logan, UT 84321	(435) 753-0740
	Marlin K. Jensen <sup>1</sup>	1500 North 7900 East	Huntsville, UT 84317	(801) 718-0858
	Laura Belnap <sup>2</sup>	845 East 1500 South	Bountiful, UT 84010	(801) 699-7588
	Isaiah (Ike) Spencer <sup>3</sup>	1029 East 11780 South	Sandy, UT 84094	(801) 949-0858
	James V. (Jim) Olsen <sup>4</sup>	5657 West 10770 North	Highland, UT 84003	(801) 599-1095
	R. Dean Rowley <sup>5</sup>	526 South 170 West	Springville, UT 84663	(801) 489-6935
	Martell Menlove	Executive Officer	martell.menlove@schools.utah.gov	(801) 538-7510
	Lorraine Austin	Secretary	lorraine.austin@schools.utah.gov	(801) 538-7517

<sup>1</sup> Board of Regents Representatives

<sup>2</sup> Charter Schools Representative

<sup>3</sup> Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee (CMAC) Representative

<sup>4</sup> Utah College of Applied Technology (UCAT) Representative

<sup>5</sup> Utah School Boards Association (USBA) Representative

4/11/2013

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Standards for English Language Arts K–5</b>	<b>11</b>
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Reading</b>	12
Reading Standards for Literature K–5	13
Reading Standards for Informational Text K–5	15
Reading Standards: Foundational Skills K–5	18
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Writing</b>	21
Writing Standards K–5	22
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Speaking and Listening</b>	25
Speaking and Listening Standards K–5	26
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Language</b>	28
Language Standards K–5	29
<b>Language Progressive Skills, by Grade (Graph)</b>	35
Standard 10: Range, Quality, and Complexity of Student Reading K–5	36
Texts Illustrating the Complexity, Quality, and Range of Student Reading K–5	37
Staying on Topic Within a Grade and Across Grades	38
<b>Standards for English Language Arts 6–12</b>	<b>39</b>
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Reading</b>	41
Reading Standards for Literature 6–12	42
Reading Standards for Informational Text 6–12	46
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Writing</b>	49
Writing Standards 6–12	50
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Speaking and Listening</b>	56
Speaking and Listening Standards 6–12	57
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Language</b>	60
Language Standards 6–12	61
<b>Language Progressive Skills, by Grade (Graph)</b>	65
Standard 10: Range, Quality, and Complexity of Student Reading 6–12	66
Texts Illustrating the Complexity, Quality, and Range of Student Reading 6–12	67
<b>Standards for Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects 6–12</b>	<b>69</b>
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Reading</b>	71
Reading Standards for Literacy in History/Social Studies 6–12	72
Reading Standards for Literacy in Science and Technical Subjects 6–12	74
College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards for <b>Writing</b>	77
Writing Standards for Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects 6–12	78

# INTRODUCTION

**The Utah Core State Standards for English Language Arts & Literacy in History/Social Studies, Science, and Technical Subjects (“the Standards”)** are the culmination of an extended, broad-based effort to fulfill the charge issued by the states to create the next generation of K–12 standards in order to help ensure that all students are college and career ready in literacy no later than the end of high school.

The present work, led by the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) and the National Governors Association (NGA), builds on the foundation laid by states in their decades-long work on crafting high-quality education standards. **The Standards** also draw on the most important international models as well as research and input from numerous sources, including state departments of education, scholars, assessment developers, professional organizations, educators from kindergarten through college, and parents, students, and other members of the public. In their design and content, refined through successive drafts and numerous rounds of feedback, **the Standards** represent a synthesis of the best elements of standards-related work to date and an important advance over that previous work.

As specified by CCSSO and NGA, **the Standards** are (1) research- and evidence-based, (2) aligned with college and work expectations, (3) rigorous, and (4) internationally benchmarked. A particular standard was included in the document only when the best available evidence indicated that its mastery was essential for college and career readiness in a twenty-first-century, globally competitive society. **The Standards** are intended to be a living work: as new and better evidence emerges, **the Standards** will be revised accordingly.

**The Standards** are an extension of a prior initiative led by CCSSO and NGA to develop College and Career Readiness (CCR) standards in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language as well as in mathematics. The CCR Reading, Writing, and Speaking and Listening Standards, released in draft form in September 2009, serve, in revised form, as the backbone for the present document. Grade-specific K–12 standards in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language translate the broad (and, for the earliest grades, seemingly distant) aims of the CCR standards into age- and attainment-appropriate terms.

**The Standards** set requirements not only for English language arts (ELA) but also for literacy in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. Just as students must learn to read, write, speak, listen, and use language effectively in a variety of content areas, so too must **the Standards** specify the literacy skills and understandings required for college and career readiness in multiple disciplines. Literacy standards for grade 6 and above are predicated on teachers of ELA, history/social studies, science, and technical subjects using their content area expertise to help students meet the particular challenges of reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language in their respective fields. It is important to note that the 6–12 literacy standards in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects are not meant to replace content standards in those areas, but rather to supplement them.

As a natural outgrowth of meeting the charge to define college and career readiness, **the Standards** also lay out a vision of what it means to be a literate person in the twenty-first century. Indeed, the skills and understandings students are expected to demonstrate have wide applicability outside the classroom or workplace. Students who meet **the Standards** readily undertake the close, attentive reading that is at the heart of understanding and enjoying complex works of literature. They habitually perform the critical reading necessary to pick carefully through the staggering amount of information available today in print and digitally. They actively seek the wide, deep, and thoughtful engagement with high-quality literary and informational texts that builds knowledge, enlarges experience, and broadens worldviews. They reflexively demonstrate the cogent reasoning and use of evidence that is essential to both private deliberation and responsible citizenship in a democratic republic. In short, students who meet **the Standards** develop the skills in reading, writing, speaking, and listening that are the foundation for any creative and purposeful expression in language.

**June 2, 2010**

## KEY DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

### CCR and grade-specific standards

The CCR standards anchor the document and define general, cross-disciplinary literacy expectations that must be met for students to be prepared to enter college and workforce training programs ready to succeed. The K–12 grade-specific standards define end-of-year expectations and a cumulative progression designed to enable students to meet college and career readiness expectations no later than the end of high school. The CCR and high school (grades 9–12) standards work in tandem to define the college and career readiness line—the former providing broad standards, the latter providing additional specificity. Hence, both should be considered when developing college and career readiness assessments.

Students advancing through the grades are expected to meet each year’s grade-specific standards, retain or further develop skills and understandings mastered in preceding grades, and work steadily toward meeting the more general expectations described by the CCR standards.

### Grade levels for K–8; grade bands for 9–10 and 11–12

**The Standards** use individual grade levels in kindergarten through grade 8 to provide useful specificity; **the Standards** use two-year bands in grades 9–12 to allow schools, districts, and states flexibility in high school course design.

### A focus on results rather than means

By emphasizing required achievements, **the Standards** leave room for teachers, curriculum developers, and states to determine how those goals should be reached and what additional topics should be addressed. Thus, **the Standards** do not mandate such things as a particular writing process or the full range of metacognitive strategies that students may need to monitor and direct their thinking and learning. Teachers are thus free to provide students with whatever tools and knowledge their professional judgment and experience identify as most helpful for meeting the goals set out in **the Standards**.

### An integrated model of literacy

Although **the Standards** are divided into Reading, Writing, Speaking and Listening, and Language strands for concep-

tual clarity, the processes of communication are closely connected, as reflected throughout this document. For example, Writing Standard 9 requires that students be able to write about what they read. Likewise, Speaking and Listening Standard 4 sets the expectation that students will share findings from their research.

### Research and media skills blended into the Standards as a whole

To be ready for college, workforce training, and life in a technological society, students need the ability to gather, comprehend, evaluate, synthesize, and report on information and ideas, to conduct original research in order to answer questions or solve problems, and to analyze and create a high volume and extensive range of print and nonprint texts in media forms old and new. The need to conduct research and to produce and consume media is embedded into every aspect of today’s curriculum. In like fashion, research and media skills and understandings are embedded throughout **the Standards** rather than treated in a separate section.

### Shared responsibility for students’ literacy development

**The Standards** insist that instruction in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language be a shared responsibility within the school. The K–5 standards include expectations for reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language applicable to a range of subjects, including but not limited to ELA. The grades 6–12 standards are divided into two sections, one for ELA and the other for history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. This division reflects the unique, time-honored place of ELA teachers in developing students’ literacy skills while at the same time recognizing that teachers in other areas must have a role in this development as well.

Part of the motivation behind the interdisciplinary approach to literacy promulgated by **the Standards** is extensive research establishing the need for college and career ready students to be proficient in reading complex informational text independently in a variety of content areas. Most of the required reading in college and workforce training programs is informational in structure and challenging in content; postsecondary education programs typically provide

students with both a higher volume of such reading than is generally required in K–12 schools and comparatively little scaffolding.

**The Standards** are not alone in calling for a special emphasis on informational text. The 2009 reading framework of the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) requires a high and increasing proportion of informational text on its assessment as students advance through the grades.

#### Distribution of Literary and Informational Passages by Grade in the 2009 NAEP Reading Framework

Grade	Literary	Informational
4	50%	50%
8	45%	55%
12	30%	70%

Source: National Assessment Governing Board. (2008). Reading framework for the 2009 National Assessment of Educational Progress. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

**The Standards** aim to align instruction with this framework so that many more students than at present can meet the requirements of college and career readiness. In K–5, **the Standards** follow NAEP’s lead in balancing the reading of literature with the reading of informational texts, including texts in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. In accord with NAEP’s growing emphasis on informational texts in the higher grades, **the Standards** demand that a significant amount of reading of informational texts take place in and outside the ELA classroom. Fulfilling **the Standards** for 6–12 ELA requires much greater attention to a specific category of informational text—literary nonfiction—than has been traditional. Because the ELA classroom must focus on literature (stories, drama, and poetry) as well as literary nonfiction, a great deal of informational reading in grades 6–12 must take place in other classes if the NAEP assessment framework is to be matched instructionally.<sup>1</sup> To measure students’ growth toward college and career readiness, assessments aligned with **the Standards** should adhere to the distribution of texts across grades cited in the NAEP framework.

<sup>1</sup> The percentages on the table reflect the sum of student reading, not just reading in ELA settings. Teachers of senior English classes, for example, are not required to devote 70 percent of reading to informational texts. Rather, 70 percent of student reading across the grade should be informational.

NAEP likewise outlines a distribution across the grades of the core purposes and types of student writing. The 2011 NAEP framework, like **the Standards**, cultivates the development of three mutually reinforcing writing capacities: writing to persuade, to explain, and to convey real or imagined experience. Evidence concerning the demands of college and career readiness gathered during development of **the Standards** concurs with NAEP’s shifting emphases: standards for grades 9–12 describe writing in all three forms, but, consistent with NAEP, the overwhelming focus of writing throughout high school should be on arguments and informative/explanatory texts.<sup>2</sup>

#### Distribution of Communicative Purposes by Grade in the 2011 NAEP Writing Framework

Grade	To Persuade	To Explain	To Convey Experience
4	30%	35%	35%
8	35%	35%	30%
12	40%	40%	20%

Source: National Assessment Governing Board. (2007). Writing framework for the 2011 National Assessment of Educational Progress, pre-publication edition. Iowa City, IA: ACT, Inc.

It follows that writing assessments aligned with **the Standards** should adhere to the distribution of writing purposes across grades outlined by NAEP.

#### Focus and coherence in instruction and assessment

While **the Standards** delineate specific expectations in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language, each standard need not be a separate focus for instruction and assessment. Often, several standards can be addressed by a single rich task. For example, when editing writing, students address Writing standard 5 (“Develop and strengthen writing as needed by planning, revising, editing, rewriting, or trying a new approach”) as well as Language standards 1–3 (which deal with conventions of standard English and knowledge of language). When drawing evidence from literary and informational texts per Writing Standard 9, students are also demonstrating their comprehension skill in relation to specific standards in Reading. When discussing

<sup>2</sup> As with reading, the percentages in the table reflect the sum of student writing, not just writing in ELA settings.

something they have read or written, students are also demonstrating their speaking and listening skills. The CCR anchor standards themselves provide another source of focus and coherence.

The same ten CCR anchor standards for Reading apply to both literary and informational texts, including texts in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. The ten CCR anchor standards for Writing cover numerous text types and subject areas. This means that students can develop mutually reinforcing skills and exhibit mastery of standards for reading and writing across a range of texts and classrooms.

## What is **not** covered by the Standards

**The Standards** should be recognized for what they are not as well as what they are. The most important intentional design limitations are as follows:

**(1) The Standards** define what all students are expected to know and be able to do, not how teachers should teach. For instance, the use of play with young children is not specified by **the Standards**, but it is welcome as a valuable activity in its own right and as a way to help students meet the expectations in this document. Furthermore, while **the Standards** make references to some particular forms of content, including mythology, foundational U.S. documents, and Shakespeare, they do not—indeed, cannot—enumerate all or even most of the content that students should learn. **The Standards** must therefore be complemented by a well-developed, content-rich curriculum consistent with the expectations laid out in this document.

**(2)** While **the Standards** focus on what is most essential, they do not describe all that can or should be taught. A great deal is left to the discretion of teachers and curriculum developers. The aim of **the Standards** is to articulate the fundamentals, not to set out an exhaustive list or a set of restrictions that limits what can be taught beyond what is specified herein.

**(3) The Standards** do not define the nature of advanced work for students who meet **the Standards** prior to the end of high school. For those students, advanced work in such areas as literature, composition, language, and journalism should be available. This work should provide the next logical step up from the college and career readiness baseline established here.

**(4) The Standards** set grade-specific standards but do not define the intervention methods or materials necessary to support students who are well below or well above grade-level expectations. No set of grade-specific standards can fully reflect the great variety in abilities, needs, learning rates, and achievement levels of students in any given classroom. However, **the Standards** do provide clear signposts along the way to the goal of college and career readiness for all students.

**(5)** It is also beyond the scope of **the Standards** to define the full range of supports appropriate for English language learners and for students with special needs. At the same time, all students must have the opportunity to learn and meet the same high standards if they are to access the knowledge and skills necessary in their post-high school lives.

Each grade will include students who are still acquiring English. For those students, it is possible to meet the standards in reading, writing, speaking, and listening without displaying native-like control of conventions and vocabulary.

The Standards should also be read as allowing for the widest possible range of students to participate fully from the outset and as permitting appropriate accommodations to ensure maximum participation of students with special education needs. For example, for students with disabilities *reading* should allow for the use of Braille, screen-reader technology, or other assistive devices, while writing should include the use of a scribe, computer, or speech-to-text technology. In a similar vein, speaking and listening should be interpreted broadly to include sign language.

**(6)** While the ELA and content area literacy components described herein are critical to college and career readiness, they do not define the whole of such readiness. Students require a wide-ranging, rigorous academic preparation and, particularly in the early grades, attention to such matters as social, emotional, and physical development and approaches to learning. Similarly, **the Standards** define literacy expectations in history/social studies, science, and technical subjects, but literacy standards in other areas, such as mathematics and health education, modeled on those in this document are strongly encouraged to facilitate a comprehensive, schoolwide literacy program.

## Students Who Are College and Career Ready in Reading, Writing, Speaking, Listening, and Language

The descriptions that follow are not standards themselves, but instead offer a portrait of students who meet the standards set out in this document. As students advance through the grades and master the standards in reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language, they are able to exhibit with increasing fullness and regularity these capacities of the literate individual.

### **They demonstrate independence.**

Students can, without significant scaffolding, comprehend and evaluate complex texts across a range of types and disciplines, and they can construct effective arguments and convey intricate or multifaceted information. Likewise, students are able independently to discern a speaker's key points, request clarification, and ask relevant questions. They build on others' ideas, articulate their own ideas, and confirm they have been understood. Without prompting, they demonstrate command of standard English and acquire and use a wide-ranging vocabulary. More broadly, they become self-directed learners, effectively seeking out and using resources to assist them, including teachers, peers, and print and digital reference materials.

### **They build strong content knowledge.**

Students establish a base of knowledge across a wide range of subject matter by engaging with works of quality and substance. They become proficient in new areas through research and study. They read purposefully and listen attentively to gain both general knowledge and discipline-specific expertise. They refine and share their knowledge through writing and speaking.

### **They respond to the varying demands of audience, task, purpose, and discipline.**

Students adapt their communication in relation to audience, task, purpose, and discipline. They set and adjust purpose for reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language use as warranted by the task. They appreciate nuances, such as how the composition of an audience should affect tone when speaking and how the connotations of words affect meaning. They also know that different disciplines call for different types of evidence (e.g., documentary evidence in history, experimental evidence in science).

### **They comprehend as well as critique.**

Students are engaged and open-minded—but discerning—readers and listeners. They work diligently to understand precisely what an author or speaker is saying, but they also question an author's or speaker's assumptions and premises and assess the veracity of claims and the soundness of reasoning.

### **They value evidence.**

Students cite specific evidence when offering an oral or written interpretation of a text. They use relevant evidence when supporting their own points in writing and speaking, making their reasoning clear to the reader or listener, and they constructively evaluate others' use of evidence.

**They use technology and digital media strategically and capably.**

Students employ technology thoughtfully to enhance their reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language use. They tailor their searches online to acquire useful information efficiently, and they integrate what they learn using technology with what they learn offline. They are familiar with the strengths and limitations of various technological tools and mediums and can select and use those best suited to their communication goals.

**They come to understand other perspectives and cultures.**

Students appreciate that the twenty-first-century classroom and workplace are settings in which people from often widely divergent cultures and who represent diverse experiences and perspectives must learn and work together. Students actively seek to understand other perspectives and cultures through reading and listening, and they are able to communicate effectively with people of varied backgrounds. They evaluate other points of view critically and constructively. Through reading great classic and contemporary works of literature representative of a variety of periods, cultures, and worldviews, students can vicariously inhabit worlds and have experiences much different than their own.

# HOW TO READ THIS DOCUMENT

## Overall Document Organization

**The Standards** comprise three main sections: a comprehensive K–5 section and two content area-specific sections for grades 6–12, one for ELA and one for history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. Three appendices accompany the main document.

Each section is divided into strands. K–5 and 6–12 ELA have Reading, Writing, Speaking and Listening, and Language strands; the 6–12 history/social studies, science, and technical subjects section focuses on Reading and Writing. Each strand is headed by a strand-specific set of College and Career Readiness Anchor Standards that is identical across all grades and content areas.

Standards for each grade within K–8 and for grades 9–10 and 11–12 follow the CCR anchor standards in each strand. Each grade-specific standard (as these standards are collectively referred to) corresponds to the same-numbered CCR anchor standard. Put another way, each CCR anchor standard has an accompanying grade-specific standard translating the broader CCR statement into grade-appropriate end-of-year expectations.

Individual CCR anchor standards can be identified by their strand, CCR status, and number (R.CCR.6, for example). Individual grade-specific standards can be identified by their strand, grade, and number (or number and letter, where applicable), so that RI.4.3, for example, stands for Reading, Informational Text, grade 4, standard 3 and W.5.1a stands for Writing, grade 5, standard 1a. Strand designations can be found in brackets alongside the full strand title.

## Who Is Responsible for Which Portion of the Standards?

A single K–5 section lists standards for reading, writing, speaking, listening, and language across the curriculum, reflecting the fact that most or all of the instruction students in these grades receive comes from one teacher. Grades 6–12 are covered in two content area-specific sections, the first for the English language arts teacher and the second for teachers of history/social studies, science, and technical subjects. Each section uses the same CCR anchor standards but also includes grade-specific standards tuned to the literacy requirements of the particular discipline(s).

## KEY FEATURES OF THE STANDARDS

### **READING:** Text complexity and the growth of comprehension

The Reading standards place equal emphasis on the sophistication of what students read and the skill with which they read. Standard 10 defines a grade-by-grade “staircase” of increasing text complexity that rises from beginning reading to the college and career readiness level. Whatever they are reading, students must also show a steadily growing ability to discern more from and make fuller use of text, including making an increasing number of connections among ideas and between texts, considering a wider range of textual evidence, and becoming more sensitive to inconsistencies, ambiguities, and poor reasoning in texts.

### **WRITING:** Text types, responding to reading, and research

**The Standards** acknowledge the fact that whereas some writing skills, such as the ability to plan, revise, edit, and publish, are applicable to many types of writing, other skills are more properly defined in terms of specific writing types: arguments, informative/explanatory texts, and narratives. Standard 9 stresses the importance of the writing-reading connection by requiring students to draw upon and write about evidence from literary and informational texts. Because of the centrality of writing to most forms of inquiry, research standards are prominently included in this strand, though skills important to research are infused throughout the document.

### **SPEAKING AND LISTENING:** Flexible communication and collaboration

Including but not limited to skills necessary for formal presentations, the Speaking and Listening standards require students to develop a range of broadly useful oral communication and interpersonal skills. Students must learn to work together, express and listen carefully to ideas, integrate information from oral, visual, quantitative, and media sources, evaluate what they hear, use media and visual displays strategically to help achieve communicative purposes, and adapt speech to context and task.

### **LANGUAGE:** Conventions, effective use, and vocabulary

The Language standards include the essential “rules” of standard written and spoken English, but they also approach language as a matter of craft and informed choice among alternatives. The vocabulary standards focus on understanding words and phrases, their relationships, and their nuances and on acquiring new vocabulary, particularly general academic and domain-specific words and phrases.

### **Appendices A, B, and C**

Appendix A contains supplementary material on reading, writing, speaking and listening, and language as well as a glossary of key terms. Appendix B consists of text exemplars illustrating the complexity, quality, and range of reading appropriate for various grade levels with accompanying sample performance tasks. Appendix C includes annotated samples demonstrating at least adequate performance in student writing at various grade levels.