

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>ACADEMY FOR MATH ENGINEERIN...</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	132	132	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>ALIANZA ACADEMY</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>53.85%</b>	<b>46.15%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>53.85%</b>	<b>46.15%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	7	6	13	53.85%	46.15%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>AMERICAN LEADERSHIP ACADEMY</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>35.86%</b>	<b>64.14%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>290</b>	<b>35.86%</b>	<b>64.14%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	104	186	290	35.86%	64.14%
<b>AMERICAN PREPARATORY ACADE...</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>29.59%</b>	<b>70.41%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>29.59%</b>	<b>70.41%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	18	43	61	29.51%	70.49%
4th Poverty Quartile	61	145	206	29.61%	70.39%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>BEAR RIVER CHARTER SCHOOL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>17.65%</b>	<b>82.35%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>17.65%</b>	<b>82.35%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	6	28	34	17.65%	82.35%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>BEEHIVE SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOG...</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>25.49%</b>	<b>74.51%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>25.49%</b>	<b>74.51%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	13	38	51	25.49%	74.51%
<b>CANYON RIM ACADEMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	18	18	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>CHANNING HALL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>4.35%</b>	<b>95.65%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>4.35%</b>	<b>95.65%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	3	66	69	4.35%	95.65%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>CITY ACADEMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	75	75	0.00%	100.00%
<b>CS LEWIS ACADEMY</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5.88%</b>	<b>94.12%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5.88%</b>	<b>94.12%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	1	16	17	5.88%	94.12%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>DAVINCI ACADEMY</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>28.97%</b>	<b>71.03%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>252</b>	<b>28.97%</b>	<b>71.03%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	73	179	252	28.97%	71.03%
<b>DUAL IMMERSION ACADEMY</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>35.14%</b>	<b>64.86%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>35.14%</b>	<b>64.86%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	26	48	74	35.14%	64.86%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>EARLY LIGHT ACADEMY AT DAYBR...</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>10.87%</b>	<b>89.13%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>10.87%</b>	<b>89.13%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	15	123	138	10.87%	89.13%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>EAST HOLLYWOOD HIGH</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>17.46%</b>	<b>82.54%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>17.46%</b>	<b>82.54%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	22	104	126	17.46%	82.54%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>EDITH BOWEN LABORATORY SCH...</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	11	11	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>ENDEAVOR HALL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15.38%</b>	<b>84.62%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15.38%</b>	<b>84.62%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	11	13	15.38%	84.62%
<b>ENTHEOS ACADEMY</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>14.81%</b>	<b>85.19%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>14.81%</b>	<b>85.19%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	24	138	162	14.81%	85.19%
<b>EXCELSIOR ACADEMY</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>23.81%</b>	<b>76.19%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>23.81%</b>	<b>76.19%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	15	48	63	23.81%	76.19%
<b>FREEDOM ACADEMY</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>20.00%</b>	<b>80.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>20.00%</b>	<b>80.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	17	68	85	20.00%	80.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>GATEWAY PREPARATORY ACADEMY</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>37.36%</b>	<b>62.64%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>37.36%</b>	<b>62.64%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	34	57	91	37.36%	62.64%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>GEORGE WASHINGTON ACADEMY</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2.63%</b>	<b>97.37%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>2.63%</b>	<b>97.37%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	37	38	2.63%	97.37%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>GOOD FOUNDATIONS ACADEMY</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11.76%</b>	<b>88.24%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11.76%</b>	<b>88.24%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	2	15	17	11.76%	88.24%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>GUADALUPE SCHOOL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	6	6	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>HAWTHORN ACADEMY</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>1.31%</b>	<b>98.69%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>1.31%</b>	<b>98.69%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	151	153	1.31%	98.69%
<b>INTECH COLLEGIATE HIGH SCHOOL</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>12.12%</b>	<b>87.88%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>12.12%</b>	<b>87.88%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	16	116	132	12.12%	87.88%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>ITINERIS EARLY COLLEGE HIGH</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>4.17%</b>	<b>95.83%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>4.17%</b>	<b>95.83%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	46	48	4.17%	95.83%
<b>JOHN HANCOCK CHARTER SCHOOL</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	9	9	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>KARL G MAESER PREPARATORY A...</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>37.13%</b>	<b>62.87%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>37.13%</b>	<b>62.87%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	114	193	307	37.13%	62.87%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>LAKEVIEW ACADEMY</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>11.29%</b>	<b>88.71%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>11.29%</b>	<b>88.71%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	7	55	62	11.29%	88.71%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>LEGACY PREPARATORY ACADEMY</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>24.44%</b>	<b>75.56%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>24.44%</b>	<b>75.56%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	88	272	360	24.44%	75.56%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>LIBERTY ACADEMY</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>37.04%</b>	<b>62.96%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>37.04%</b>	<b>62.96%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	30	51	81	37.04%	62.96%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>LINCOLN ACADEMY</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>24.46%</b>	<b>75.54%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>24.46%</b>	<b>75.54%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	34	105	139	24.46%	75.54%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>MARIA MONTESSORI ACADEMY</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25.00%</b>	<b>75.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25.00%</b>	<b>75.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	13	39	52	25.00%	75.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>MERIT COLLEGE PREPARATORY A...</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>45.85%</b>	<b>54.15%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>45.85%</b>	<b>54.15%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	105	124	229	45.85%	54.15%
<b>MOAB CHARTER SCHOOL</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>71.43%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>71.43%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	2	5	7	28.57%	71.43%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>MONTICELLO ACADEMY</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>12.47%</b>	<b>87.53%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>323</b>	<b>369</b>	<b>12.47%</b>	<b>87.53%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	46	323	369	12.47%	87.53%
<b>MOUNTAINVILLE ACADEMY</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>12.50%</b>	<b>87.50%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>12.50%</b>	<b>87.50%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	15	105	120	12.50%	87.50%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>NAVIGATOR POINTE ACADEMY</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>12.93%</b>	<b>87.07%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>12.93%</b>	<b>87.07%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	15	101	116	12.93%	87.07%
<b>NO UT ACAD FOR MATH ENGINEER...</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>1.26%</b>	<b>98.74%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>1.26%</b>	<b>98.74%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	2	157	159	1.26%	98.74%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>NOAH WEBSTER ACADEMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	22	22	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>NORTH DAVIS PREPARATORY ACA...</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>7.49%</b>	<b>92.51%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>7.49%</b>	<b>92.51%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	14	173	187	7.49%	92.51%
<b>NORTH STAR ACADEMY</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>6.38%</b>	<b>93.62%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>6.38%</b>	<b>93.62%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	6	88	94	6.38%	93.62%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>ODYSSEY CHARTER SCHOOL</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33.33%</b>	<b>66.67%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>33.33%</b>	<b>66.67%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	7	14	21	33.33%	66.67%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>OGDEN PREPARATORY ACADEMY</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>11.76%</b>	<b>88.24%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>11.76%</b>	<b>88.24%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	14	105	119	11.76%	88.24%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>OPEN CLASSROOM</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3.33%</b>	<b>96.67%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3.33%</b>	<b>96.67%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	1	29	30	3.33%	96.67%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>OPEN HIGH SCHOOL OF UTAH</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>7.14%</b>	<b>92.86%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>7.14%</b>	<b>92.86%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	4	52	56	7.14%	92.86%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>OQUIRRH MOUNTAIN CHARTER SC...</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>5.71%</b>	<b>94.29%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>5.71%</b>	<b>94.29%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	6	99	105	5.71%	94.29%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>PARADIGM HIGH SCHOOL</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>24.54%</b>	<b>75.46%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>24.54%</b>	<b>75.46%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	66	203	269	24.54%	75.46%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>PINNACLE CANYON ACADEMY</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>71.43%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>28.57%</b>	<b>71.43%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	26	65	91	28.57%	71.43%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>PROVIDENCE HALL</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>23.76%</b>	<b>76.24%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>23.76%</b>	<b>76.24%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	48	154	202	23.76%	76.24%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>QUAIL RUN PRIMARY SCHOOL</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>28.21%</b>	<b>71.79%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>140</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>28.21%</b>	<b>71.79%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	55	140	195	28.21%	71.79%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>QUEST ACADEMY</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>97.53%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>2.47%</b>	<b>97.53%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	79	81	2.47%	97.53%
<b>RANCHES ACADEMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	14	14	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>REAGAN ACADEMY</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>10.00%</b>	<b>90.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>10.00%</b>	<b>90.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	5	45	50	10.00%	90.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>RENAISSANCE ACADEMY</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>83.33%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>16.67%</b>	<b>83.33%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	14	70	84	16.67%	83.33%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>ROCKWELL CHARTER HIGH SCHO...</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>33.96%</b>	<b>66.04%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>33.96%</b>	<b>66.04%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	36	70	106	33.96%	66.04%
<b>SALT LAKE ARTS ACADEMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	37	37	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>SALT LAKE CENTER FOR SCIENCE...</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>10.99%</b>	<b>89.01%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>10.99%</b>	<b>89.01%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	10	81	91	10.99%	89.01%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>SALT LAKE SCHOOL FOR THE PER...</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>60.00%</b>	<b>40.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>60.00%</b>	<b>40.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	39	26	65	60.00%	40.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>SOLDIER HOLLOW CHARTER SCH...</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>36.36%</b>	<b>63.64%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>36.36%</b>	<b>63.64%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	8	14	22	36.36%	63.64%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>SUCCESS ACADEMY</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>11.90%</b>	<b>88.10%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>11.90%</b>	<b>88.10%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	5	37	42	11.90%	88.10%
<b>SUMMIT ACADEMY</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>21.37%</b>	<b>78.63%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>21.37%</b>	<b>78.63%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	25	92	117	21.37%	78.63%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>SUMMIT ACADEMY HIGH SCHOOL</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>23.02%</b>	<b>76.98%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>23.02%</b>	<b>76.98%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	32	107	139	23.02%	76.98%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>SYRACUSE ARTS ACADEMY</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	158	158	0.00%	100.00%
<b>THOMAS EDISON - NORTH</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>10.32%</b>	<b>89.68%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>10.32%</b>	<b>89.68%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	16	139	155	10.32%	89.68%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>TIMPANOGOS ACADEMY</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6.25%</b>	<b>93.75%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6.25%</b>	<b>93.75%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	15	16	6.25%	93.75%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>TUACAHN HIGH SCHOOL FOR THE ...</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>18.48%</b>	<b>81.52%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>18.48%</b>	<b>81.52%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	17	75	92	18.48%	81.52%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>UINTAH RIVER HIGH</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>39.32%</b>	<b>60.68%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>39.32%</b>	<b>60.68%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	46	71	117	39.32%	60.68%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>UTAH CONNECTIONS ACADEMY</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>19.62%</b>	<b>80.38%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>19.62%</b>	<b>80.38%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	31	127	158	19.62%	80.38%
<b>UTAH COUNTY ACADEMY OF SCIE...</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>85.71%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>14.29%</b>	<b>85.71%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	9	54	63	14.29%	85.71%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>UTAH VIRTUAL ACADEMY</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>60.12%</b>	<b>39.88%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>60.12%</b>	<b>39.88%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	300	199	499	60.12%	39.88%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>VENTURE ACADEMY</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>14.06%</b>	<b>85.94%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>14.06%</b>	<b>85.94%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	9	55	64	14.06%	85.94%
<b>VISTA AT ENTRADA SCHOOL OF P...</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>48.15%</b>	<b>51.85%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>48.15%</b>	<b>51.85%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	13	14	27	48.15%	51.85%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>WALDEN SCHOOL OF LIBERAL AR...</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>25.23%</b>	<b>74.77%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>25.23%</b>	<b>74.77%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	54	160	214	25.23%	74.77%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>WASATCH PEAK ACADEMY</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13.33%</b>	<b>86.67%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13.33%</b>	<b>86.67%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	13	15	13.33%	86.67%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>WEILENMANN SCHOOL OF DISCOV...</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>33.33%</b>	<b>66.67%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>33.33%</b>	<b>66.67%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	27	54	81	33.33%	66.67%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>ALPINE DISTRICT</b>	<b>1,041</b>	<b>9,002</b>	<b>10,043</b>	<b>10.37%</b>	<b>89.63%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>1,206</b>	<b>1,293</b>	<b>6.73%</b>	<b>93.27%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	34	600	634	5.36%	94.64%
4th Poverty Quartile	8	69	77	10.39%	89.61%
Other Poverty Quartiles	45	537	582	7.73%	92.27%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>954</b>	<b>7,796</b>	<b>8,750</b>	<b>10.90%</b>	<b>89.10%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	449	4,093	4,542	9.89%	90.11%
4th Poverty Quartile	36	270	306	11.76%	88.24%
Other Poverty Quartiles	469	3,433	3,902	12.02%	87.98%
<b>BEAVER DISTRICT</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>31.50%</b>	<b>68.50%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>2.50%</b>	<b>97.50%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	22	22	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	1	17	18	5.56%	94.44%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>38.75%</b>	<b>61.25%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	11	2	13	84.62%	15.38%
Other Poverty Quartiles	51	96	147	34.69%	65.31%
<b>BOX ELDER DISTRICT</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>1,966</b>	<b>2,605</b>	<b>24.53%</b>	<b>75.47%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>4.13%</b>	<b>95.87%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	11	11	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	7	34	41	17.07%	82.93%
Other Poverty Quartiles	3	187	190	1.58%	98.42%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>1,734</b>	<b>2,363</b>	<b>26.62%</b>	<b>73.38%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	629	1,734	2,363	26.62%	73.38%
<b>CACHE DISTRICT</b>	<b>684</b>	<b>3,467</b>	<b>4,151</b>	<b>16.48%</b>	<b>83.52%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>5.79%</b>	<b>94.21%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	3	39	42	7.14%	92.86%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	15	254	269	5.58%	94.42%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>666</b>	<b>3,174</b>	<b>3,840</b>	<b>17.34%</b>	<b>82.66%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	666	3,174	3,840	17.34%	82.66%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>CANYONS DISTRICT</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>4,596</b>	<b>4,786</b>	<b>3.97%</b>	<b>96.03%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>741</b>	<b>773</b>	<b>4.14%</b>	<b>95.86%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	10	343	353	2.83%	97.17%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	117	117	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	22	281	303	7.26%	92.74%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>3,855</b>	<b>4,013</b>	<b>3.94%</b>	<b>96.06%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	80	1,776	1,856	4.31%	95.69%
4th Poverty Quartile	43	804	847	5.08%	94.92%
Other Poverty Quartiles	35	1,275	1,310	2.67%	97.33%
<b>CARBON DISTRICT</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>11.44%</b>	<b>88.56%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>5.62%</b>	<b>94.38%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	3	26	29	10.34%	89.66%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	58	60	3.33%	96.67%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>13.31%</b>	<b>86.69%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	14	36	50	28.00%	72.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	23	205	228	10.09%	89.91%
<b>DAGGETT DISTRICT</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>26.97%</b>	<b>73.03%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	6	6	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	9	9	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>32.43%</b>	<b>67.57%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	24	50	74	32.43%	67.57%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>DAVIS DISTRICT</b>	<b>1,301</b>	<b>11,067</b>	<b>12,368</b>	<b>10.52%</b>	<b>89.48%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>1,764</b>	<b>2.95%</b>	<b>97.05%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	39	928	967	4.03%	95.97%
4th Poverty Quartile	1	94	95	1.05%	98.95%
Other Poverty Quartiles	12	690	702	1.71%	98.29%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>1,249</b>	<b>9,355</b>	<b>10,604</b>	<b>11.78%</b>	<b>88.22%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	452	4,206	4,658	9.70%	90.30%
4th Poverty Quartile	95	316	411	23.11%	76.89%
Other Poverty Quartiles	702	4,833	5,535	12.68%	87.32%
<b>DUCHESNE DISTRICT</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>33.59%</b>	<b>66.41%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>6.25%</b>	<b>93.75%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	14	14	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	6	76	82	7.32%	92.68%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>696</b>	<b>37.36%</b>	<b>62.64%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	13	55	68	19.12%	80.88%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	247	381	628	39.33%	60.67%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>EMERY DISTRICT</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>402</b>	<b>30.60%</b>	<b>69.40%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>5.63%</b>	<b>94.37%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	21	21	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	4	46	50	8.00%	92.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>35.95%</b>	<b>64.05%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	17	25	42	40.48%	59.52%
Other Poverty Quartiles	102	187	289	35.29%	64.71%
<b>GARFIELD DISTRICT</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>23.29%</b>	<b>76.71%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	13	13	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	27	27	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>32.08%</b>	<b>67.92%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	34	72	106	32.08%	67.92%
<b>GRAND DISTRICT</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>37.65%</b>	<b>62.35%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>5.13%</b>	<b>94.87%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	2	37	39	5.13%	94.87%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>378</b>	<b>41.01%</b>	<b>58.99%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	155	223	378	41.01%	58.99%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>GRANITE DISTRICT</b>	<b>997</b>	<b>8,212</b>	<b>9,209</b>	<b>10.83%</b>	<b>89.17%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1,590</b>	<b>1,607</b>	<b>1.06%</b>	<b>98.94%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	221	222	0.45%	99.55%
4th Poverty Quartile	5	598	603	0.83%	99.17%
Other Poverty Quartiles	11	771	782	1.41%	98.59%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>980</b>	<b>6,622</b>	<b>7,602</b>	<b>12.89%</b>	<b>87.11%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	121	1,365	1,486	8.14%	91.86%
4th Poverty Quartile	380	2,082	2,462	15.43%	84.57%
Other Poverty Quartiles	479	3,175	3,654	13.11%	86.89%
<b>IRON DISTRICT</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>978</b>	<b>1,193</b>	<b>18.02%</b>	<b>81.98%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>1.13%</b>	<b>98.87%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	67	67	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	108	110	1.82%	98.18%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>803</b>	<b>1,016</b>	<b>20.96%</b>	<b>79.04%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	111	453	564	19.68%	80.32%
Other Poverty Quartiles	102	350	452	22.57%	77.43%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>JORDAN DISTRICT</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>5,365</b>	<b>5,903</b>	<b>9.11%</b>	<b>90.89%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>1,127</b>	<b>1,208</b>	<b>6.71%</b>	<b>93.29%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	61	588	649	9.40%	90.60%
4th Poverty Quartile	2	92	94	2.13%	97.87%
Other Poverty Quartiles	18	447	465	3.87%	96.13%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>4,238</b>	<b>4,695</b>	<b>9.73%</b>	<b>90.27%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	178	2,156	2,334	7.63%	92.37%
4th Poverty Quartile	30	403	433	6.93%	93.07%
Other Poverty Quartiles	249	1,679	1,928	12.91%	87.09%
<b>JUAB DISTRICT</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>414</b>	<b>15.70%</b>	<b>84.30%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>3.92%</b>	<b>96.08%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	49	51	3.92%	96.08%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>17.36%</b>	<b>82.64%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	63	300	363	17.36%	82.64%
<b>KANE DISTRICT</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>27.00%</b>	<b>73.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>10.81%</b>	<b>89.19%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	4	15	19	21.05%	78.95%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	18	18	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>30.67%</b>	<b>69.33%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	24	8	32	75.00%	25.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	26	105	131	19.85%	80.15%
<b>LOGAN CITY DISTRICT</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>904</b>	<b>1,027</b>	<b>11.98%</b>	<b>88.02%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>1.65%</b>	<b>98.35%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	2	80	82	2.44%	97.56%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	39	39	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>785</b>	<b>906</b>	<b>13.36%</b>	<b>86.64%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	21	218	239	8.79%	91.21%
Other Poverty Quartiles	100	567	667	14.99%	85.01%
<b>MILLARD DISTRICT</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>428</b>	<b>20.56%</b>	<b>79.44%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	6	6	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	38	38	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	5	5	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>23.22%</b>	<b>76.78%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	13	17	30	43.33%	56.67%
4th Poverty Quartile	57	145	202	28.22%	71.78%
Other Poverty Quartiles	18	129	147	12.24%	87.76%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>MORGAN DISTRICT</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>463</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>11.47%</b>	<b>88.53%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>2.08%</b>	<b>97.92%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	20	21	4.76%	95.24%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	27	27	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>12.42%</b>	<b>87.58%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	59	416	475	12.42%	87.58%
<b>MURRAY DISTRICT</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>1,007</b>	<b>1,091</b>	<b>7.70%</b>	<b>92.30%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>3.27%</b>	<b>96.73%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	5	148	153	3.27%	96.73%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>859</b>	<b>938</b>	<b>8.42%</b>	<b>91.58%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	79	859	938	8.42%	91.58%
<b>NEBO DISTRICT</b>	<b>681</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>4,411</b>	<b>15.44%</b>	<b>84.56%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>690</b>	<b>8.12%</b>	<b>91.88%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	11	91	102	10.78%	89.22%
4th Poverty Quartile	2	45	47	4.26%	95.74%
Other Poverty Quartiles	43	498	541	7.95%	92.05%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>625</b>	<b>3,096</b>	<b>3,721</b>	<b>16.80%</b>	<b>83.20%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	31	310	341	9.09%	90.91%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	594	2,786	3,380	17.57%	82.43%
<b>NORTH SANPETE DISTRICT</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>13.99%</b>	<b>86.01%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>6.25%</b>	<b>93.75%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	1	13	14	7.14%	92.86%
Other Poverty Quartiles	3	47	50	6.00%	94.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>15.32%</b>	<b>84.68%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	34	125	159	21.38%	78.62%
Other Poverty Quartiles	23	190	213	10.80%	89.20%
<b>NORTH SUMMIT DISTRICT</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>19.65%</b>	<b>80.35%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	17	17	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>21.79%</b>	<b>78.21%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	34	122	156	21.79%	78.21%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>OGDEN CITY DISTRICT</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>2,046</b>	<b>2,662</b>	<b>23.14%</b>	<b>76.86%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>2.69%</b>	<b>97.31%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	4	286	290	1.38%	98.62%
Other Poverty Quartiles	5	40	45	11.11%	88.89%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>1,720</b>	<b>2,327</b>	<b>26.09%</b>	<b>73.91%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	607	1,720	2,327	26.09%	73.91%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>PARK CITY DISTRICT</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>9.07%</b>	<b>90.93%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1.22%</b>	<b>98.78%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	42	43	2.33%	97.67%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	16	16	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	23	23	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>581</b>	<b>646</b>	<b>10.06%</b>	<b>89.94%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	65	581	646	10.06%	89.94%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>PIUTE DISTRICT</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>29.73%</b>	<b>70.27%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7.14%</b>	<b>92.86%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	1	13	14	7.14%	92.86%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>35.00%</b>	<b>65.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	21	39	60	35.00%	65.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>PROVO DISTRICT</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>1,956</b>	<b>2,418</b>	<b>19.11%</b>	<b>80.89%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>288</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>9.72%</b>	<b>90.28%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	3	19	22	13.64%	86.36%
4th Poverty Quartile	20	141	161	12.42%	87.58%
Other Poverty Quartiles	8	128	136	5.88%	94.12%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>2,099</b>	<b>20.53%</b>	<b>79.47%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	117	23	140	83.57%	16.43%
4th Poverty Quartile	33	210	243	13.58%	86.42%
Other Poverty Quartiles	281	1,435	1,716	16.38%	83.62%
<b>RICH DISTRICT</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>17.86%</b>	<b>82.14%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	6	6	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	7	7	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>19.35%</b>	<b>80.65%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	27	55	82	32.93%	67.07%
Other Poverty Quartiles	3	70	73	4.11%	95.89%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>SALT LAKE DISTRICT</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>2,359</b>	<b>2,745</b>	<b>14.06%</b>	<b>85.94%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>3.17%</b>	<b>96.83%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	100	101	0.99%	99.01%
4th Poverty Quartile	16	371	387	4.13%	95.87%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	109	111	1.80%	98.20%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>1,779</b>	<b>2,146</b>	<b>17.10%</b>	<b>82.90%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	307	1,554	1,861	16.50%	83.50%
Other Poverty Quartiles	60	225	285	21.05%	78.95%
<b>SAN JUAN DISTRICT</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>9.28%</b>	<b>90.72%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	47	47	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	14	14	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>10.76%</b>	<b>89.24%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	39	280	319	12.23%	87.77%
Other Poverty Quartiles	2	60	62	3.23%	96.77%
<b>SEVIER DISTRICT</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>1,281</b>	<b>1,627</b>	<b>21.27%</b>	<b>78.73%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>1.14%</b>	<b>98.86%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	1	50	51	1.96%	98.04%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	37	37	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>345</b>	<b>1,194</b>	<b>1,539</b>	<b>22.42%</b>	<b>77.58%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	258	871	1,129	22.85%	77.15%
Other Poverty Quartiles	87	323	410	21.22%	78.78%
<b>SOUTH SANPETE DISTRICT</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>543</b>	<b>18.60%</b>	<b>81.40%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	23	23	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	40	40	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>379</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>21.04%</b>	<b>78.96%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	1	1	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	46	219	265	17.36%	82.64%
Other Poverty Quartiles	55	159	214	25.70%	74.30%
<b>SOUTH SUMMIT DISTRICT</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>26.90%</b>	<b>73.10%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>3.85%</b>	<b>96.15%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	1	25	26	3.85%	96.15%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>316</b>	<b>28.80%</b>	<b>71.20%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	31	116	147	21.09%	78.91%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	60	109	169	35.50%	64.50%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>TINTIC DISTRICT</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>60.00%</b>	<b>40.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	10	10	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>69.23%</b>	<b>30.77%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	45	15	60	75.00%	25.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	5	5	0.00%	100.00%
<b>TOOELE DISTRICT</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>1,501</b>	<b>1,699</b>	<b>11.65%</b>	<b>88.35%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>337</b>	<b>4.75%</b>	<b>95.25%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	30	30	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	6	57	63	9.52%	90.48%
Other Poverty Quartiles	10	234	244	4.10%	95.90%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>1,180</b>	<b>1,362</b>	<b>13.36%</b>	<b>86.64%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	14	27	41	34.15%	65.85%
4th Poverty Quartile	31	221	252	12.30%	87.70%
Other Poverty Quartiles	137	932	1,069	12.82%	87.18%
<b>UINTAH DISTRICT</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>1,003</b>	<b>1,067</b>	<b>6.00%</b>	<b>94.00%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>6.11%</b>	<b>93.89%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	13	13	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	7	38	45	15.56%	84.44%
Other Poverty Quartiles	4	118	122	3.28%	96.72%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>834</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>5.98%</b>	<b>94.02%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	53	834	887	5.98%	94.02%
<b>WASATCH DISTRICT</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>16.42%</b>	<b>83.58%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>13.04%</b>	<b>86.96%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	15	100	115	13.04%	86.96%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>17.21%</b>	<b>82.79%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	15	5	20	75.00%	25.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	69	399	468	14.74%	85.26%
<b>WASHINGTON DISTRICT</b>	<b>385</b>	<b>3,098</b>	<b>3,483</b>	<b>11.05%</b>	<b>88.95%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>535</b>	<b>0.37%</b>	<b>99.63%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	29	29	0.00%	100.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	2	251	253	0.79%	99.21%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	253	253	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>2,565</b>	<b>2,948</b>	<b>12.99%</b>	<b>87.01%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	129	205	334	38.62%	61.38%
4th Poverty Quartile	94	766	860	10.93%	89.07%
Other Poverty Quartiles	160	1,594	1,754	9.12%	90.88%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage

**Count of NCLB Classes Reported to the Clearinghouse, For Poverty Quartiles,  
Taught by Highly Qualified Educators for School Year 2011-2012**

	Not Highly Qualified	Highly Qualified	Total Classes Warehse	Percent Not HQ	Percent Highly Qualified
<b>WAYNE DISTRICT</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>17.14%</b>	<b>82.86%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>100.00%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	0	6	6	0.00%	100.00%
Other Poverty Quartiles	0	12	12	0.00%	100.00%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>20.69%</b>	<b>79.31%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
4th Poverty Quartile	1	42	43	2.33%	97.67%
Other Poverty Quartiles	17	27	44	38.64%	61.36%
<b>WEBER DISTRICT</b>	<b>854</b>	<b>4,555</b>	<b>5,409</b>	<b>15.79%</b>	<b>84.21%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>641</b>	<b>676</b>	<b>5.18%</b>	<b>94.82%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	2	129	131	1.53%	98.47%
4th Poverty Quartile	3	128	131	2.29%	97.71%
Other Poverty Quartiles	30	384	414	7.25%	92.75%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>3,914</b>	<b>4,733</b>	<b>17.30%</b>	<b>82.70%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	219	1,088	1,307	16.76%	83.24%
4th Poverty Quartile	52	196	248	20.97%	79.03%
Other Poverty Quartiles	548	2,630	3,178	17.24%	82.76%
<b>State Totals</b>	<b>13,272</b>	<b>80,575</b>	<b>93,847</b>	<b>14.14%</b>	<b>85.86%</b>
<b>Elementary</b>	<b>782</b>	<b>12,822</b>	<b>13,604</b>	<b>5.75%</b>	<b>94.25%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	282	3,703	3,985	7.08%	92.92%
4th Poverty Quartile	123	2,868	2,991	4.11%	95.89%
Other Poverty Quartiles	377	6,251	6,628	5.69%	94.31%
<b>Secondary</b>	<b>12,490</b>	<b>67,753</b>	<b>80,243</b>	<b>15.57%</b>	<b>84.43%</b>
1st Poverty Quartile	2,531	18,282	20,813	12.16%	87.84%
4th Poverty Quartile	3,129	12,366	15,495	20.19%	79.81%
Other Poverty Quartiles	6,830	37,105	43,935	15.55%	84.45%

\*\* This report does not reflect assignments reported to CACTUS

\*\* 4th Poverty Quartile is the quartile with the highest poverty percentage