

Utah Science Education Tool (USET) Development Process

The Utah Science Education Tools (USETs) focus on a specific aspect of high-quality, phenomena-based, three-dimensional teaching and learning in Utah. They provide background information, give examples of what teachers and students do in the classroom, share a rubric for self-assessment and reflection, and have resources for further learning. The process of writing and publishing a USET on the [USB E science webpage](#) and [SEEd eMedia hub](#) is described below:

1. Initial Drafting

- The writer completes a draft of the USET using the [template](#).
- The writer pays attention to the clarity, completeness, accuracy of the information, and length of the document (2 pages).
- The writer completes the 4 sections USET sections:
 - Background: This section provides a concise overview of the USET topic, supported by relevant research and examples where necessary.
 - Classroom Application: This section outlines observable student actions that demonstrate understanding and describes specific teacher behaviors that support effective implementation.
 - Implementation Rubric: This component offers clear and measurable criteria for evaluating the effective implementation of the USET, typically across a range of performance levels (e.g., basic, emerging, effective, exceptional).
 - Resources: This section provides a curated list of resources that offer a more in-depth exploration of the USET topic and support further teacher learning.

2. Peer Review

- The writer shares the draft with a peer or colleague for review.
- The reviewer uses the following questions to guide their feedback:
 - Is the topic clearly stated and aligned with the Utah SEEd Standards?
 - Is the background information accurate, concise, and relevant?
 - Are the classroom applications practical and engaging?
 - Do the student actions clearly demonstrate understanding of the topic?
 - Are the teacher planning steps specific and actionable?
 - Is the implementation rubric clear and easy to use? Does each step have a clear progression between each performance level?
 - Are the resources appropriate, helpful, and are the links current?

3. Self-Reflection

- The writer carefully reviews the peer feedback and considers the following:
 - Are the suggestions valid and helpful?
 - How can I incorporate the feedback to improve the USET?
 - What areas need further clarification or development?

4. Revision

- The writer revises the USET based on the peer feedback and self-reflection.
- The writer double-checks the clarity, completeness, and accuracy of the revised document.

5. Expert Review by Combined SSECC Advisory Team

- The writer submits the draft to USBE's Science Education Specialists to be reviewed by the Combined State Science Education Coordinating Committee (SSECC) Advisory Team.
- The Combined SSECC Advisory Team provides feedback on the scientific accuracy, pedagogical effectiveness, and alignment with best practices.

6. Final Revision

- The writer revises the USET based on the feedback from the Combined SSECC Advisory Team.
- The writer proofreads the USET for any errors in grammar, spelling, or punctuation.

7. Published Draft Creation

- The writer submits the final draft to USBE's Science Education Specialists to be incorporated into the published draft.
- The Specialists provide the published draft to the writer for feedback.

8. Published Online and shared with Combined SSECC

- The published draft is published to [USBE's Website](#) and the [SEEd eMedia Hub](#).
- The published USET is shared in Combined SSECC and distributed amongst the Science Education community.