

MINUTES

March 2, 2001

Minutes of the meeting of the State Board of Education and State Board for Applied Technology Education held March 2, 2001, at the Utah State Office of Education, Salt Lake City, Utah. Meeting commenced at 8:35 a.m. Chairman Kim R. Burningham presided.

Members present were:

Chairman, Kim R. Burningham
Vice Chairman, Janet A. Cannon
Member Bette O. Arial
Member Linnea S. Barney
Member Laurel Brown
Member Greg W. Haws
Member Jill G. Kennedy
Member Judy Larson
Member A. Earl McCain
Member Denis R. Morrill
Member David L. Moss
Member Joyce W. Richards
Member Marilyn Shields
Member Teresa L. Theurer

Member Mike Anderson was excused.

Also present were:

Executive Officer Steven O. Laing
Deputy Superintendent Gary L. Carlston
Associate Superintendent Robert O. Brems
Associate Superintendent Patrick Ogden
Interim-Associate Superintendent Bonnie Morgan
Executive Director, USOR, Blaine Petersen
Public Information Officer, Eileen Rencher
Board Secretary Twila B. Affleck

Also present for portions of the Board meeting were:

Members of the Press:

Beth Dove, Standard-Examiner
Heather May, Salt Lake Tribune
Marta Murvosh, Salt Lake Tribune
Jim Thalman, Deseret News
Marguetha Finefrock, REACH
Lee Robinson, Superintendent, Utah Schools for the Deaf and the Blind
Susan Rales, McDougal Ladle Publishers
Bonnie J. Stoud, Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee
Jim Eldredge, Utah Education Association

March 2, 2001

Kaye Chatterton, Utah Education Association
Dorothy Cosgrove, Salt Lake City School District
Janice Jones-Schroeder, Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee
Harry Bonner, Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee
Max Packineau, Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee
JoAnn Wong Kilpatrick, Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee

Utah State Office of Education Staff:
Richard Gomez, Planning & Project Services
Patricia G. Bradley, Instructional Services
Barbara Lawrence, Planning & Project Services
Connie Amos, Instructional Services
Ron Stanfield, Planning & Project Services

The Board repeated the Pledge of Allegiance.

Board Member Laurel Brown offered the reverence.

Twila B. Affleck recorded the minutes.

Chairman Burningham excused Member Mike Anderson from the meeting and
Member Greg Haws from the first portion of the meeting.

Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee
(CMAC) Quarterly Report

Janice Jones-Schroeder, Chairman of the Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee presented and overview of the makeup of the Coalition of Minorities Advisory Committee and their role as advisory to the State Board of Education.

JoAnn Wong Kilpatrick reported on achievements of CMAC.

Max Packineau reported on the six works in progress of CMAC.

Harry Bonner reported on issues of diversity.

Vice Chairman Janet Cannon questioned whether or not CMAC was able to get information relative to the drop out rate and other dis-aggregate information from the State Office; and would they want that kind of information? Ms. Schroeder indicated they would like the information but it is a lot of information for a committee to obtain.

Superintendent Laing noted that this information is reported annually and it is available. With the advent of the U-PASS the information will be available at the school level and requires dis-aggregation of data. Also this is available on the school report card and on the web sites at the district and school level.

March 2, 2001

Deputy Superintendent Gary Carlston reported that Barbara Lawrence, Coordinator of Evaluation and Assessment will be meeting with CMAC at their next meeting.

Member Laurel Brown questioned if CMAC had been looking at truancy laws to see if they are tight enough?

Ms. Kilpatrick responded that there is no consistent tracking system from district to district. Their goal is to track them, find them and recapture them.

For complete details of the information presented see General Exhibit No. 8539.)

Motion was made by Member Bette O. Arial and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain to receive the report and congratulate them on their report. Motion carried with Members Arial, Barney, Brown, Cannon, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Richards, Shields and Theurer voting in favor; Member Haws absent.

Member Marilyn Shields expressed her appreciation to CMAC and for the opportunity she has in serving as the Board's liaison with that committee.

Motion was made by Marilyn Shields and seconded by Member Denis R. Morrill that the Board instruct staff to: (1) develop plan to address the issues of minority student achievement, high school graduation and family involvement; (2) identify existing resources and needed future resources; and (3) describe the anticipated contributions of the Utah State University study of best practices specific to these issues, the two USOE staff positions recently authorized through legislation, and CMAC. Motion carried with Members Arial, Barney, Brown, Cannon, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Richards, Shields and Theurer voting in favor; Member Haws absent.

Member Earl McCain requested a report of Districts, including individual schools, that have not adopted the REACH Program.

Final Budget and Overall
Budget Comparisons 2001-2002

Associate Superintendent Patrick Ogden reviewed the final actions taken by the 2001 Legislature relative to the State Board of Education budget recommendations. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8540.)

Chairman Burningham noted that we received a lot in staff development and he hoped the State Office would work with districts to help them make the most of these monies for meaningful staff development.

March 2, 2001

Discussion ensued relative to the prescribed uses and distribution of staff development funds.

Chairman Burningham noted that in this legislative session the more effective way to get the attention of the legislature to fund an item was to put it into a bill. He suggested that in the future if we really want something new to be funded, maybe it needs to be in a bill as well as the appropriation request.

Associate Superintendent Patrick Ogden reported that the total amount of money received for public education this year was \$160,000,000 of new money. The paper reported that the legislature gave public education \$220,000,000. He reviewed how they came to that \$220,000,000 figure. Mr. Ogden reported that public education received 33 percent of the new money available. He noted, however, that public education is 47 percent of the state tax budget, yet we only received 33 percent of the new money.

Jim Eldredge, Utah Education Association commented that we have truth in taxation, maybe we should have truth in appropriations. He explained that what happens is we will receive a substantial increase, a lot of which is one-time money, then next year they have to refill the one time money and they don't start the measurement where we ended, they start it with the one-time money pulled out.

Associate Superintendent Patrick Ogden reviewed the block granting scenarios. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8541.) Mr. Ogden noted that with the block grant money if the districts choose to spend the money in any program, they still have to follow the rules and regulations associated with that program.

The Board received the reports.

Other Business

Chairman Burningham noted that during the Board's work session last evening there was some discussion relative to the Board's relationship with the State Board of Regents. He indicated that Member Arial had a motion in that regard.

Motion was made by Member Bette O. Arial and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain that in an effort to better understand and cross-pollinate with the State Board of Regents, in light of some of the things that have happened during the past few months, the Board Chair be authorized to invite two members of the Board of Regents, not necessarily the same two to each meeting, to attend meetings of the State Board of Education as ex-officio members.

March 2, 2001

Member Haws commented that he had some initial hesitation last night, and now has additional hesitation. He indicated he was not an advocate of cross-pollination. He felt that the Board has a mandate for public education and he did not see how members of the Board of Regents could provide any insight into the process. He further indicated the same if the State Board members were invited to sit with the Board of Regents.

Member Haws also commented that there may be other groups who would feel the same and want to become ex-officio members as well as have Board Members be ex-officio members of their organizations.

Member Marilyn Shields suggested that part of the motion include that this would be a pilot program with a time limit of one year.

Members Arial and McCain accepted this as part of the motion.

Member Dave Moss clarified that cross-pollination may not be the appropriate term as at this point in time we are not cross-pollinating, we are just inviting their members to observe what we are doing.

Member Laurel Brown questioned the scope of their participation in the Board's meetings.

Chairman Burningham indicated that we would invite them to be members of the Board, they would not have a vote, however, they could participate in discussions.

Member Judy Larson commented that she did not see this in accomplishing a better working relationship.

Member Denis Morrill commented that the legislature made an attempt to get both board together by creating a certain body, but that did not bring the two groups together. However, yesterday the Board learned that one of the best ways to do this would be to get to know them. He felt this is a good idea, they participate with us and they know we are real people with ideas and concerns and responsibilities and a love for the students.

Vice Chairman Janet Cannon commented that it might be a good idea when we have something on our agenda that pertains to the Regents, i.e. teacher education programs, we invite them for input on those issues.

Motion carried with Members Arial, Cannon, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Richards, Shields, and Theurer voting in favor; Members Barney, Brown and Haws voting against.

March 2, 2001

Chairman Burningham announced that the Board of Regents have invited us to meet with them in St. George the afternoon of March 15th for discussion of applied technology issues. He indicated that Superintendent Laing has arranged to take a state plane which will accommodate 6 passengers. He indicated that Rob Brems, two ATC superintendents, and three board members. Members Dave Moss, Denis Morrill and Janet Cannon indicated they would be available to go. Chairman Burningham indicated that Member Arial would also be part of the group to meet with the Board of Regents. Member Teresa Theurer also indicated if there was space she would also like to attend the meeting.

Final Legislative Report

House Bill 34 - Superintendent Laing indicated that in the work session the Board talked about HB 34 and its various iterations. He noted that Substitute 5, while it did not pass, it is speculated that this will be the subject for the special session in April. Dr. Laing suggested that the Board provide direction as to what involvement they would like to have in the proposed special session.

Associate Superintendent Rob Brems presented some recommendations in preparing for a special session on Applied Technology Education Governance. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8542.)

Board Members individually expressed their concerns with the proposed legislation which included competency based, open-entry, open-exit, associate degrees, the budgeting process, the proposed umbrella name, and the need for serving secondary students.

Motion was made by Member Denis R. Morrill and seconded by Member Judy Larson (using the recommendations presented by Associate Superintendent Rob Brems) that the Board accept these as our principles upon which whatever comes out must be based, with the following amendments: (1) Under clientele change the first bullet to state "Secondary students to have equal access and scheduling accommodations at no cost." (2) Under Student Outcomes, delete the last bullet and make it all competency based. (3) On the chart public education have a more direct (black line) relationship. Further, dedicated and protected funding streams made available from the legislature direct through UCATE.

Chairman Burningham called for a division of the motion.

Accept a set of guidelines. Motion carried unanimously.

March 2, 2001

Amendment #1 - Under clientele change the first bullet to state "Secondary students to have equal access and scheduling accommodations at no cost." Motion carried unanimously.

Amendment #2 - Under Student Outcomes, delete the fourth bullet.

Following discussion, a substitute amendment was made by Member Greg Haws and seconded by Member Joyce W. Richards to amend the fourth bullet and delete only "including transferable lower-division credit limited to AAT programs."

Member Linnea Barney commented that she did not think that should be one of our goals. She did not feel that this was something the Board should seek, and spoke in favor of the original amendment.

Member Teresa Theurer spoke in opposition to the substitute amendment because she did not see any benefit to the secondary students that we are talking about.

Vice Chairman Janet Cannon spoke in opposition to entire idea. She felt this was the crux of turning over the system of applied technology education to higher education. It looks like academic creep and this is a disservice of students seeking applied technology education.

Member Dave Moss spoke in favor of maintaining a certain portion, because he liked the idea being able to maintain options for the students.

Associate Superintendent Brems explained that the emphasis for this mostly came from the discussions held with Governor Leavitt. He compared this with the Western Governor's University which is a competency based institution. The idea here is to elevate the status of whatever has been obtained by the student to that of an associate of applied technology degree, rather than a certificate of completion. This would maybe have more recognition. The other part was to bridge the gap between the list of competencies and then carry that into higher education should they decide to go on.

Substitute motion failed unanimously.

Original motion carried unanimously.

The third amendment to delete the dotted green line on the chart that had to do with funding and all that represents in the bill. This is to eliminate the Board of Regents having control over the funding.

Clarification of bullet No. 4 - Dedicated and protected funding (green line):
Coordinated statewide budget requests made directly to the legislature; appropriations directly to each regional applied technology provider.

March 2, 2001

Motion on amendment of dedicated and protectd funding carried unanimously.

Discussion ensued on the participatory oversight and management at state and local levels issue.

Amendment to change the last bullet to read: "Participatory oversight and management at state and local levels which includes the State Board of Education, local school districts, the State Board of Regents, higher education institutions and business representation."

Amendment carried unanimously.

Vice Chairman Janet Cannon suggested another bullet be added relative to governance indicating that the two members of the State Board of Education who serve on UCAT will be selected by the chair of the State Board of Education.

Discussion ensued relative to adding an additional bullet regarding governance.

Motion was made by Member Teresa L. Theurer and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain to add a bullet on to read: "Governance of applied technology education for secondary students be under the direction of the State Board for Applied Technology Education."

Associate Superintendent Rob Brems cautioned that if this moves forward the Board needs to be very descriptive about it because we already know that the school district programs are under the Board's governance. What we are talking about here are the secondary students that will be involved in what are presently applied technology centers and may become applied technology colleges. He suggested a change to include regional applied technology programs.

The amended motion would read: "Governance of applied technology education programs for secondary students in regional applied technology education programs under the State Board of Education." Amendment was adopted by Members Theurer and McCain.

Member Denis Morrill commented that it would be good to have this, but he felt like it was already lost.

Motion carried with Members Arial, Barney, Brown, Cannon, Kennedy, McCain, Moss, and Theurer voting in favor; Members Haws, Larson, Morrill, Richards and Shields voting against. Chairman Burningham voted in favor.

Vice Chairman Janet Cannon offered another amendment seconded by Members David L. Moss that under "Mission", first bullet to read: "Prepare individuals with applied technology skills for today's workforce." Motion carried unanimously.

March 2, 2001

Motion was made by Member Jill G. Kennedy and seconded by Member David L. Moss that the organizational chart currently being discussed reflect a black supervisory line from USBE to UCAT.

Member Greg Haws noted that we have been outlining principles and not dealing with an organizational chart, and he felt the chart needed more discussion.

Motion carried with Members Arial, Barney, Brown, Cannon, Kennedy, Larson and Shields voting in favor; Members Haws, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Richards, and Theurer voting against. Chairman Burningham voted in favor.

Executive Session

Motion was made by Vice-Chairman Janet A Cannon and seconded by Member Marilyn Shields that the Board move into an Executive Session for the purpose of discussing personnel issues and possible litigation. The Board was polled and by unanimous consent of those present, the Board moved into an Executive Session during lunch at 12:50 p.m.

Motion was made by Member Laurel Brown and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain that the Board reconvene into open meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

The Board reconvened at 1:20 p.m.

Discussion ensued relative to using this as an opportunity to educate the public relative to what applied technology education is, using the principles adopted earlier. It was suggested that the principles be sent to every legislator with further background information. It was further suggested that a public relations event be planned to take the news media to an applied technology center. Associate Superintendent Brems indicated that this has been done through the applied technology centers using positive statements about their individual centers.

It was agreed that a media event be planned and invite news media people to visit an applied technology center with a board representative, and that a one-page fact sheet be prepared.

Utah Professional Practices Commission Recommendation

Motion was made by Member David L. Moss and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain that the Board accept the Utah Professional Practices Commission recommendation in Case No. 00-533 and accept a stipulated agreement whereby a former educator in the Cache School District, voluntarily surrenders his educator license in lieu of a hearing for purposes of revocation by the State Board of Education. The revocation results from the educators unlawful

March 2, 2001

sexual activity with a 17-year old female. Motion carried unanimously. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No 8543.)

Final 2001 Legislation Report Continued

Superintendent Steven O. Laing reviewed the actions taken by the 2001 Legislature relative to public education. Dr. Laing reviewed the directions in the legislation for Board action and follow-up that was available to date. He indicated that those items requiring Board action will be presented at a future Board meeting. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8544.)

Board Members individually asked questions or requested clarification on intent of some of the legislation passed and what might be required through the State Board or the State Office of Education.

Deputy Superintendent Gary Carlston distributed a copy of the Master Study Resolution for the Board's information. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8545.) He indicated that just because an item was on the list, it didn't mean they would be selected to be studied.

Approval of U-PASS Assessments

Barbara Lawrence, Coordinator, Evaluation and Assessment reviewed the process of developing or selecting assessment instruments. She requested that the Board identify what parts of the process they wished to be a part of and at what point in the process they will consider the assessments for approval. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8546.) report.

Deputy Superintendent Gary Carlston noted that there were some natural points for the Board to be involved. The first would be the establishment of the time-line which would allow staff to go ahead with requests for proposals, etc. Secondly, all the contracts are approved by the Board throughout the developmental process. Third, the overall process itself. Finally, final forms or decisions after piloting is finished, and standards set, bring it to the Board for final approval.

Deputy Superintendent Carlston reported that the validation study that will be doing with the CRT's and cut scores will be taking place on the afternoon of March 15 and all day on the 16. He extended an invitation to Board Members to participate in that validation study. It will be held at the Embassy Suites Hotel.

March 2, 2001

Vice Chairman Janet Cannon suggested that key legislators with interest in assessment also be invited to attend. Dr. Carlston reported that they had been invited as well as members of the Accountability Task Force.

Chairman Burningham and Members Brown, Larson, McCain, Richards and Theurer indicated they would be available to participate.

Motion was made by Member Denis R. Morrill and seconded by Member Greg W. Haws approve time-line and process presented today. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Burningham noted that the contracts will come before the Board on a regular basis. The Board would then expect a report at the completion of pilot and standard setting process. It was clarified that this is part of the process that was included in the motion.

Accreditation of Schools

Superintendent Steven Laing reported that at the February Board meeting Board members requested additional information in regard to the expectations and criteria used by the Northwest Association of Schools and Colleges to determine accreditation of schools, whether there is any flexibility to the criteria, and whether there are any provisions for the Board to influence the criteria. He indicated that the material presented in the agenda provided information relative to the accreditation criteria from the Northwest Association of Schools and Colleges. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8547.)

Connie Amos, Curriculum Specialist, reviewed the process.

Dr. Laing suggested that the Board may want to assign further study of accreditation to one of the board standing committees for further recommendations to the Board.

Discussion ensued relative to the process and how schools who are placed on a warning status might be able to correct the areas identified as problems. Also the problem of having the funding necessary to correct the problems.

Associate Superintendent Bonnie Morgan recommended that next year the Board be a part of the process and approve the accreditation committee membership. She further recommended that the board review the weighting of some of the categories. She further indicated that instead of bringing these reports to the Board in February, they go to the schools and if there are problems we request them to give us a plan of how they plan to address the issue that prevents them from being accredited.

March 2, 2001

Motion was made by Member Laurel Brown and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain that the Board receive the information on the accreditation process and ask the Curriculum and Instruction Committee to review the standards for accreditation, the composition of appointment and makeup of the accreditation committee and make recommendations to the Board at the May meeting. Motion carried with Members Barney, Brown, Cannon, Haws, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Richards, Shields and Theurer voting in favor; Member Arial absent.

Youth in Custody Rate Change for FY02

Superintendent Steven Laing reported that the Board approves the rates charged to school districts and other appropriate agencies to provide educational, administrative, and support services to youth in custody. He indicated that school districts receive Youth in Custody funding based on the number of students served and a rate set according to the type of service provided.

Patricia Bradley, Coordinator, At Risk Services/Specialist, Youth In Custody reviewed the current rates being charged which currently range from \$31 to \$39 per day. Ms. Bradley reviewed the different levels of service provided for these funds. She indicated that a 12% increase is requested changing the rates to \$35 and \$44 per day to provide the services. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8548.)

Motion was made by Member David L. Moss and seconded by Member Marilyn Shields that the State Board of Education approve the 12% rate change to the Youth In Custody programs for FY02 as recommended. Motion carried with Members Barney, Brown, Cannon, Haws, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Shields and Theurer voting in favor; Members Arial and Richards absent.

Executive Officer Report

Superintendent Steven O. Laing presented the following items of information:

Prudential Corporation Spirit of Community Awards – Two students in the state have been named Utah's top two youth volunteers for 2001 by the Prudential Spirit of Community Awards, a nationwide program honoring young people for outstanding acts of volunteerism. Each will receive \$1,000, an engraved silver medallion, and an all-expense-paid trip in May to Washington, D.C., where they will join other honorees for several days of national recognition events. The two are: Marin Pool 17 years old from Logan, who travels extensively as Utah's

March 2, 2001

ambassador for the "TRUTH from Youth" anti-smoking campaign; and Krieg Adair 12 years old from Monticello, who organized a "Trick or Treat for Food" campaign. We also had four distinguished finalists: Jennifer Conger, Hyrum; Rachael Dailey, Salt Lake City; Heather Jacques, Riverton; and Heather Rindlisback of Orem. Each will receive a silver medallion. Letters of congratulations have been sent on the Board's behalf.

Dr. Laing noted that copies of two videos had been given to each Board Member. The videos have been prepared to inform people about the upcoming 10th grade basic-skills competency tests.

Dr. Laing reported that each Board Member should have received notice of the Regional Utah School Boards Association Meetings. He encouraged Board members to attend. He indicated that a member of the executive staff has been assigned to attend each of those.

Board Chairman Report

Chairman Kim R. Burningham reported on the following:

At the last meeting an individual came relative to an International Choir. A resolution was prepared and sent to them. He read a thank you note sent by that organization.

Board Evaluation Form – Chairman Burningham requested that each Board member fill out their evaluation form from today's meeting. He indicated that Board leadership did review them and they are helpful to them.

Chairman Burningham expressed appreciation to Board Members for their dedication and time during the legislative session indicating that many spent a great deal of time attending legislative meetings.

Chairman Burningham noted the article from the *Salt Lake Tribune* relative to increasing taxes that he had given them. He felt that it was a very provocative article encouraged members to read it. He indicated he had sent it to every legislator with a cover letter.

Chairman Burningham reported that there is a National Conference being held on April 5 and 6, 2001 at which they are going to evaluate how well the standards and accountability are working throughout the nation. This involves some of the top education leaders throughout the nation. He indicated that Vice Chairman Cannon will see if she can attend.

March 2, 2001

Chairman Burningham reported that this evening State Reading Association is giving award to the Board for support of their particular kind of reading efforts. He and Steve have been invited to attend to receive that award.

Board Member Reports

Member Marilyn Shields reported that four members of the Board are going back to Washington DC to attend NASBE's Legislative Conference, Board of Directors Meeting and Study Group Meetings. She asked if any Board Member had any input for them to take back for the Legislative Conference to please let her know.

Superintendent Laing reported that the Council of Chief State School Officers and the NASBE Board of Directors will have a joint meeting on Saturday, which he will also be attending.

The summary of conclusions on Applied Technology Education was distributed.
(For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8549.)

General Consent Calendar

Motion was made by Member David L. Moss and seconded by Member Greg W. Haws to lift Item E, Educator Licensing Fees from the General Consent Calendar. Motion carried with Members Barney, Brown, Cannon, Haws, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Shields, and Theurer voting in favor; Members Arial and Richards absent.

Member Moss stated that his concern is with the educator re-licensing fee. He indicated that this is not a money issue for teachers. He felt that the teachers do feel that re-licensure is a professional thing to do. He felt that at this point in time it would be prudent to take more time to evaluate this process and the costs and if nothing else be able to educate the teacher community as to why there is yet another fee they are going to be responsible for.

Member Judy Larson commented that the committee had an extensive discussion on this issue. They tried to come up with alternatives but did not see how it can change.

Member Denis Morrill commented that it is about the money. He indicated that most professional people pay licensing and re-licensing fees and this is a minimal one and is needed to cover the costs of processing the license.

Chairman Burningham suggested that another alternative might be to delay implementation for a year.

March 2, 2001

Superintendent Laing indicated that it may be possible to use some of the discretionary funds to hire someone of a year on a contract basis to cover these costs. This would be a short-term solution and eventually we will need to have a revenue stream for the purpose of hiring personnel to do this. He indicated that the Legislature had adjusted our ability to collect those fees and authorized an full-time employee.

Member Greg Haws stated that he had a professional license that had been paid for by his firm. He suggested that the employer of the teacher pay the license fee. Also, it should only be paid if the teacher is teaching.

Member Jill Kennedy stated that it was her understanding in the original proposal that this could be done by the teacher on the Cactus system without additional cost to the office. If we do have some funding available it might be worthwhile to postpone a year or six months until we see actual costs to the office.

Kay Chatterton of the Utah Education Association commented that the concern is that there has been a shift in the way we have done business. When an educator re-certified there was no fee, now there is a fee. There is a new program where we have asked teachers to do professional development, and there was not sufficient money given to districts to do professional development and the question is on whose time and dime do we do that. Now there is a new program, and educators have to pay for it. She indicated that there is a morale feeling that it is on their back.

Ron Stanfield, Coordinator, Educator Licensing, reviewed the work of the committee that was assigned to study this. He indicated that the committee took 18 months, and the information developed has been out there for a while, but it was not articulated very well. He reported that to date 1,200 educators have paid the \$15 license renewal. The office is currently holding 50 until we hear from the Board.

Superintendent Laing commented that at this point there are some other issues that have recently happened and there is a possibility that other avenues could be pursued. He felt that a delay of another month would not be unreasonable. He recommended that the Board approve the General Consent Calendar with the exception of Item E, and then approve the fees less the renewal fee and then address that at a future date.

March 2, 2001

Member Teresa Theurer felt that there should definitely be a renewal fee of \$15 charged to people who aren't currently teaching. She further suggested that we not charge the \$15 for the first renewal and let educators know that there will be a renewal fee for their next renewal in five years.

Member Dave Moss summarized that the Board has talked before, the attitude of teachers toward the board falls in two camps: total indifference or unnecessary evil. At least initially the good will we can generate by doing this with our teachers with us as a board.

Motion was made by Member Greg W Haws and seconded by Member A. Earl McCain to approve the General Consent Calendar as presented without Item #E, Educator Licensing Fee. Motion carried with Members Barney, Brown, Cannon, Haws, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Shields and Theurer voting in favor; Members Arial and Richards absent.

Motion was made by Member Judy Larson and seconded by Member Teresa L. Theurer to adopt Item E, Educator Licensing Fees, with the exception of the \$15 renewal fee, for those who are inactive. Motion carried with Members Barney, Brown, Cannon, Haws, Kennedy, Larson, McCain, Morrill, Moss, Shields and Theurer voting in favor; Members Arial and Richards absent.

Motion was made by Member A. Earl McCain that the Board put in place a process by which this renewal of active teachers is monitored by the local board and the local education association, and in an annual report to the state office they recommend those persons who are eligible for renewal without the fee.

Superintendent Laing requested Member McCain hold his motion until staff has had a chance to explore some of the things that have happened that may change substantially the idea of re-licensure. Member McCain withdrew his motion.

1. Minutes of Previous Meeting

Minutes of the Meetings of the State Board of Education and State Board for Applied Technology Education and the State Board of Education held February 2 , 2001, and February 15, 2001

March 2, 2001

2. Contracts

- (A) Kim's Quality Cleaning. \$12,600. 3/01/01-2/28/04. - Fed.

To provide janitorial services for the Division of Rehabilitation Services office located at 150 North Main Street, Suite 103, Bountiful, Utah.

- (B) John F. Bates. \$3,000. 10/01/00-09/30/01. - Amend. - Fed.

Appeal officer for disputed audits or reviews required by the United States Department of Agriculture for the Child and Adult Care Program (CACFP) hearings.

- (C) Measured Progress. \$897,439. 1/1/01-9/15/02 - Amend.

To develop the Utah Core Curriculum Secondary Language Arts End-of-Course Criterion-Referenced Tests. The contract modification includes the development of constructed-response and viewing items, the production and duplication of video tapes, and the administration of the spring 2001 operational assessment.

- (D) Jordan School District. \$31,632.60. 1/1/01-6/02/01.

Barbara Gentry, a teacher in the Jordan School District, will work one-half of the school year contract for FY 01 with the Utah State Office of Education, Evaluation and Assessment Unit, to develop item pools for the Secondary Core Assessment.

- (E) Jennifer Johns. \$25,000. 3/2/01-12/31/02.

Design and implementation of surveys and 3Rs program evaluation activities.

(For complete details of the Contracts, see General Exhibit No. 8550.)

3. Educator Licensing Requests for Temporary Authorizations

Requests for Temporary Authorizations as submitted by the School Districts were reviewed and approved. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8551.)

March 2, 2001

4. Secondary Applied Technology Education, Rule R277-911

Circumstances in districts require adjustments in the language of R277-911 allowing applied technology education administrators who work in multi-district assignments additional latitude in job assignments. Originally the rule required that multi-district directors be only assigned applied technology education duties, however, financial circumstances in districts and requests from superintendents and directors for flexibility in the rule have resulted in these recommended changes. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8552.)

The State Board approved the changes to the rule on second reading at their February 2, 2001 meeting. There have been no substantive changes made to the rule since that time. The State Board for Applied Technology Education approved Rule R277-911, Secondary Applied Technology Education on third and final reading.

5. Educator Licensing Fees

Utah State Code Section 53A-6-105 and Board Rule R277-502-9 state that the Utah State Board of Education shall establish a fee schedule relating to the issuance of educator licenses and endorsements. The current licensing fees are:

- \$15 transcript evaluation
- \$60 fingerprint/FBI check
- \$15 new license processing
- \$15 copy of current license

The State Board of Education affirmed and approved the educator licensing fee schedule.

6. Claims Report

The Claims Report in the amount of \$153,680,894.15 ending February 28, 2001 was approved by the Board. (For complete details, see General Exhibit No. 8553.)

Motion was made by Member Greg W. Haws to adjourn.

Meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m.